

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/832,910	HILGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

(1) Robin A. Hylton.

(3) ____

(2) William Schrot.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 2 February 2005
Time: pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The term "located" is inaccurate in defining the locking device. The term has been replaced with "effective" which is consistent with claim 15.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)